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#### Abstract

We consider the scaling limit of linear statistics for eigenphases of a matrix taken from one of the classical compact groups. We compute their moments and find that the first few moments are Gaussian, whereas the limiting distribution is not. The precise number of Gaussian moments depends upon the particular statistic considered.


PACS number: 02.20.Hj

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the scaling limit of linear statistics for eigenphases of matrices in the classical groups. Given a unitary $N \times N$ matrix $U$ with eigenvalues ${ }^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{n}}, 1 \leqslant n \leqslant N$, and a test function $g$ which we assume is $2 \pi$-periodic, consider the linear statistic

$$
\operatorname{Tr} g(U):=\sum_{n=1}^{N} g\left(\theta_{n}\right)
$$

A number of authors have studied the limiting distribution as $N \rightarrow \infty$ of $\operatorname{Tr} g(U)$ as $U$ varies over a family $G(N)$ of classical groups and have concluded that the distribution is Gaussian, see [1, 2, 4].

Soshnikov [9] showed that this result remains valid in the 'mesoscopic' regime, that is if one considers eigenphases $\theta_{n}$ in an interval of length about $1 / L$ where $L=L_{N} \rightarrow \infty$ but $L / N \rightarrow 0$ : for a Schwartz function $f$ on the real line, define

$$
F_{L}(\theta):=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{L}{2 \pi}(\theta+2 \pi j)\right)
$$

which is $2 \pi$-periodic and localized on a scale of $1 / L$. Soshnikov [9] showed that as long as $L / N \rightarrow 0$, then the limiting distribution of $\operatorname{Tr} F_{L}(U)$ as $U$ ranges over all unitary matrices in $\mathrm{U}(N), N \rightarrow \infty$ is a Gaussian with mean

$$
\frac{N}{L} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

and variance

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t)^{2}|t| \mathrm{d} t
$$

where the Fourier transform is defined as

$$
\hat{f}(t):=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} x t} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

There are similar formulae for the other classical groups.
Our goal is to investigate these linear statistics in the scaling limit, that is to take $L=N$. Thus we set

$$
Z_{f}(U):=\operatorname{Tr} F_{N}(U)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} F_{N}\left(\theta_{n}\right)
$$

In [3] we proved
Theorem 1. If supp $\hat{f} \subseteq[-2 / m, 2 / m]$ then the first $m$ moments of $Z_{f}(U)$ over the unitary group $\mathrm{U}(N)$ converge as $N \rightarrow \infty$ to the Gaussian moments with mean $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \mathrm{d} x$ and variance

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \min (|u|, 1)|\hat{f}(u)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} u
$$

We called this a 'mock-Gaussian' behaviour. It is worth remarking that in [3] we find the full distribution of $Z_{f}$, and it is not Gaussian; only the first few moments are.

The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate mock-Gaussian behaviour for linear statistics in other classical compact groups, the special orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ and the symplectic group $\operatorname{Sp}(N)$ ( $N$ must be even in the symplectic group). If $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$ is an eigenvalue of a matrix $U$ taken from one of these groups then $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \theta}$ is also an eigenvalue. This means 1 is always an eigenvalue of $U \in \mathrm{SO}(N)$ if $N$ is odd.

Due to the pairing of eigenvalues, the function $f$ must be even. Our results are
Theorem 2. (i) If $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subseteq[-1 / m, 1 / m]$ then the first $m$ moments of $Z_{f}(U)$ over the symplectic group $\operatorname{Sp}(N)$ converge to the Gaussian moments with mean

$$
\hat{f}(0)-\int_{0}^{1} \hat{f}(u) \mathrm{d} u
$$

and variance

$$
2 \int_{-1 / 2}^{1 / 2}|u| \hat{f}(u)^{2} \mathrm{~d} u .
$$

(ii) If $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subseteq(-1 / m, 1 / m)$ then the first $m$ moments of $Z_{f}(U)$ over the special orthogonal group $U \in \mathrm{SO}(N)$ converge to the Gaussian moments with mean

$$
\hat{f}(0)+\int_{0}^{1} \hat{f}(u) \mathrm{d} u
$$

and variance

$$
2 \int_{-1 / 2}^{1 / 2}|u| \hat{f}(u)^{2} \mathrm{~d} u .
$$

Remark. There exists $f$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subseteq[-1 / m, 1 / m]$ and whose $(m+1)$ st moment is not Gaussian. To see this, observe that the $m$ th cumulants can be calculated exactly, and it ceases to vanish once the support of $\hat{f}$ is no longer contained in the interval $[-1 / m, 1 / m]$.

### 1.1. Moments and cumulants

One approach to proving such results is to use the Fourier expansion $g(\theta)=\sum_{n} g_{n} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n \theta}$ and expand $\operatorname{Tr} g(U)$ as a sum

$$
\operatorname{Tr} g(U)=\sum_{n} g_{n} \operatorname{Tr}\left(U^{n}\right)
$$

Computing moments of $\operatorname{Tr} g(U)$ then boils down to being able to compute integrals of products of $\operatorname{Tr}\left(U^{n}\right)$ over the classical group. Theorem 1 for the unitary group was proved in [3] using this approach by employing a result of Diaconis and Shahshahani [1, 2], concerning moments of traces of random unitary matrices. Their result is a consequence of Schur duality for representations of the unitary group and the symmetric group, and the second orthogonality relation for characters of the symmetric group.

The paper by Diaconis and Evans [1] (see also [2]) contains a corresponding result for moments of traces of random symplectic and orthogonal ${ }^{3}$ matrices (which they deduce using the work of Ram [7] on Brauer algebras), which can be used to prove our theorems in half the range, that is the $m$ th moment of $Z_{f}$ is Gaussian if supp $\hat{f}$ lies in the interval $(-1 / 2 m, 1 / 2 m)$. We wish to have the full range so as to compare with zeros of quadratic $L$-functions, where linear statistics show mock-Gaussian behaviour in the same full range (this can be deduced from the work of Rubinstein [8]). Using a result of Özlück and Snyder [6], if one assumes the generalized Riemann hypothesis, then the mean of a linear statistic for quadratic $L$-functions can be calculated so long as supp $\hat{f} \subset(-2,2)$. The case of Dirichlet $L$-functions, which correspond to the unitary group, was considered in [3].

To obtain the results we desire, we abandon moments and instead use the cumulants $C_{\ell}^{G(N)}(g)$ of $\operatorname{Tr} g(U)$. These are defined via the expansion

$$
\log \mathbb{E}_{G(N)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{t \operatorname{Tr} g(U)}\right)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} C_{\ell}^{G(N)}(g) \frac{t^{\ell}}{\ell!}
$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{G(N)}$ denotes the expectation with respect to Haar measure over the group $G(N)$. The cumulants have previously been considered in this context by Soshnikov [9] (interestingly, his results again only give half the required range), and it is his combinatorial approach that we adopt.

There is a natural decomposition for the cumulants on the symplectic and special orthogonal groups. For brevity, we will describe the situation for the symplectic group (so $N$, the matrix size, is assumed to be even). The cumulants can be written as

$$
C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{Sp}(N)}(g)=2^{\ell} C_{\ell, N+1}^{\mathrm{even}}(g)-2^{\ell} C_{\ell, N+1}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g) .
$$

We show that the odd parts $C_{\ell, N+1}^{\text {odd }}(g)$ of the cumulants vanish in a certain region, and in fact if $g_{k}=0$ for $|k|>(N+1) / \ell$ then the $\ell$ th cumulant vanishes.
${ }^{3}$ Note that Diaconis and Evans consider orthogonal matrices, whereas we are interested in the special orthogonal group.

For all $g$, the even summand equals half a unitary cumulant:

$$
C_{\ell, N+1}^{\text {even }}(g)=\frac{1}{2} C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{U}(N+1)}(g) .
$$

We may now employ the available results about the unitary group to deduce that $C_{\ell, N+1}^{\text {even }}(g)$ also vanishes in a larger region. Setting $g=F_{N}$ we obtain theorem 2.

Since moments and cumulants give essentially equivalent information, we can now go back to computing averages of the product of traces on classical groups and resolve a problem raised in [1, remark 8.2], to show

Theorem 3. Let $Z_{j}$ be independent standard normal random variables, and let

$$
\eta_{j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } j \text { is even } \\ 0 & \text { if } j \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

(i) If $a_{j} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots$ are such that $\sum j a_{j} \leqslant N+1$, where $N$ is even, then

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{Sp}(N)}\left\{\prod\left(\operatorname{Tr} U^{j}\right)^{a_{j}}\right\}=E\left\{\prod\left(\sqrt{j} Z_{j}-\eta_{j}\right)^{a_{j}}\right\} .
$$

(ii) If $a_{j} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots$ are such that $\sum j a_{j} \leqslant N-1$ then

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{SO}(N)}\left\{\prod\left(\operatorname{Tr} U^{j}\right)^{a_{j}}\right\}=E\left\{\prod\left(\sqrt{j} Z_{j}+\eta_{j}\right)^{a_{j}}\right\} .
$$

Similar theorems have been proved by Diaconis and Evans [1], though only for half the range (that is, they require $\sum j a_{j} \leqslant N / 2$ ).

## 2. Cumulants of linear statistics

In order to calculate $C_{\ell}^{\operatorname{Sp}(N)}(g)$ we need to know the moment generating function. Using Weyl's integration formula, one can write $\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{Sp}(N)}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{t \operatorname{Tr} g(U)}\right\}$ as an integral over the $N / 2$ independent eigenphases (recall that $N$ must be even for a symplectic matrix to exist), see e.g. [5]. Thus, writing $N=2 M$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{Sp}(N)}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{t \operatorname{Tr} g(U)}\right\} & =\mathbb{E}_{\operatorname{Sp}(N)}\left\{\exp \left(2 t \sum_{n=1}^{M} g\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right)\right\} \\
& =\int_{[0, \pi]^{M}} \operatorname{Det}\left\{Q^{\operatorname{Sp}(2 M)}\left(\theta_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)\right\}_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant M} \prod_{n=1}^{M} \mathrm{e}^{2 t g\left(\theta_{n}\right)} \mathrm{d} \theta_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the kernel is $Q^{\operatorname{Sp}(N)}(x, y):=S_{N+1}(x-y)-S_{N+1}(x+y)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{N}(z):=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{\sin (N z / 2)}{\sin (z / 2)} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, it is a general fact that if

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{M} \operatorname{tg}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right)\right\}=\int_{\mathbb{T}^{M}} \operatorname{Det}\left\{Q_{M}\left(\theta_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)\right\}_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant M} \prod_{n=1}^{M} \mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{tg}\left(\theta_{n}\right)} \mathrm{d} \theta_{n}
$$

where $\mathbb{E}$ denotes averaging the $\theta_{n}$ over some real interval $\mathbb{T}$, then defining the $\ell$ th cumulant of $\sum g\left(\theta_{n}\right), C_{\ell}$, by the expansion

$$
\log \mathbb{E}\left\{\exp \left(t \sum_{n=1}^{M} g\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right)\right\}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\ell}}{\ell!} C_{\ell}
$$

Table 1. Kernels for Haar measure over the classical compact groups.

| Group | $\operatorname{Tr} g(U)$ | Kernel $Q_{M}(x, y)$ | Range $\mathbb{T}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{U}(N)$ | $\sum_{n=1}^{N} g\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ | $S_{N}(x, y)$ | $(-\pi, \pi]$ |
| $\mathrm{Sp}(N)$ | $2 \sum_{n=1}^{M} g\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ | $S_{N+1}(x-y)-S_{N+1}(x+y)$ | $[0, \pi]$ |
| $N=2 M$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ | $2 \sum_{n=1}^{M} g\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ | $S_{N-1}(x-y)+S_{N-1}(x+y)$ | $[0, \pi]$ |
| $N=2 M$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ | $g(0)+2 \sum_{n=1}^{M} g\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ | $S_{N-1}(x-y)-S_{N-1}(x+y)$ | $[0, \pi]$ |
| $N=2 M+1$ |  |  |  |

then $[9,10]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\ell}=\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\sigma \in P(\ell, m)}(-1)^{m+1}(m-1)!\int_{\mathbb{T}^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} g^{\lambda_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right) Q_{M}\left(x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we identify $x_{m+1}$ with $x_{1}$. Here $P(\ell, m)$ is the set of all partitions of $\ell$ objects into $m$ nonempty blocks, where the $j$ th block has $\lambda_{j}=\lambda_{j}(\sigma)$ elements (that is $\lambda_{j}:=\#\{i: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$, $\sigma(i)=j\}$ ).

Thus,
$C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{Sp}(N)}(g)=2^{\ell} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\sigma \in P(\ell, m)}(-1)^{m+1}(m-1)!\int_{[0, \pi]^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} g^{\lambda_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right) Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(N)}\left(x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{j}$.
Since $Q^{\operatorname{Sp}(N)}(x, y)$ is odd in both variables, $\prod_{j=1}^{m} Q^{\operatorname{Sp}(N)}\left(x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right)$ is even in all variables, and so, since $g$ is an even function, we may extend the integral to be over $[-\pi, \pi]$ and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{Sp}(N)}(g)=2^{\ell} & \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\sigma \in P(\ell, m)}(-1)^{m+1}(m-1)! \\
& \times \frac{1}{2^{m}} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} g^{\lambda_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)\left(S_{N+1}\left(x_{j}-x_{j+1}\right)-S_{N+1}\left(x_{j}+x_{j+1}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

and on expanding out the middle product on the bottom line,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{Sp}(N)}(g)= & 2^{\ell} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\sigma \in P(\ell, m)}(-1)^{m+1}(m-1)!\frac{1}{2^{m}} \\
& \times \sum_{\epsilon_{1}= \pm 1, \ldots, \epsilon_{m}= \pm 1} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} g^{\lambda_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right) \epsilon_{j} S_{N+1}\left(x_{j}-\epsilon_{j} x_{j+1}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{j} \\
= & 2^{\ell} C_{\ell, N+1}^{\text {even }}(g)-2^{\ell} C_{\ell, N+1}^{\text {odd }}(g)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{\ell, N+1}^{\text {even }}(g)$ contains terms with $\prod_{j=1}^{m} \epsilon_{j}=+1$ and $C_{\ell, N+1}^{\text {odd }}(g)$ contains terms with $\prod_{j=1}^{m} \epsilon_{j}=-1$.

Similarly one can calculate the other groups, using Weyl's calculation of Haar measure, which is summarized in table 1 .

### 2.1. Summary

Put

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{\ell, M}^{\mathrm{even}}(g)=\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} & \sum_{\sigma \in P(\ell, m)}(-1)^{m+1}(m-1)!\frac{1}{2^{m}} \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{\epsilon_{1}= \pm, \ldots, \ldots, \epsilon_{m}= \pm 1 \\
\prod \epsilon_{j}=+1}} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} g^{\lambda_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right) S_{M}\left(x_{j}-\epsilon_{j} x_{j+1}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{j} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{\ell, M}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g)=\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} & \sum_{\sigma \in P(\ell, m)}(-1)^{m+1}(m-1)!\frac{1}{2^{m}} \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{\epsilon_{1}= \pm 1, \ldots, \epsilon_{m}= \pm 1 \\
\prod \epsilon_{j}=-1}} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} g^{\lambda_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right) S_{M}\left(x_{j}-\epsilon_{j} x_{j+1}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{j} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

with $S_{M}$ defined in (1):

- For all $\ell$,

$$
C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{Sp}(2 M)}(g)=2^{\ell} C_{\ell, 2 M+1}^{\mathrm{even}}(g)-2^{\ell} C_{\ell, 2 M+1}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g)
$$

- For all $\ell$,

$$
C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{SO}(2 M)}(g)=2^{\ell} C_{\ell, 2 M-1}^{\mathrm{even}}(g)+2^{\ell} C_{\ell, 2 M-1}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g) .
$$

- For $\ell=1$,

$$
C_{1}^{\mathrm{SO}(2 M+1)}(g)=2 C_{1,2 M}^{\mathrm{even}}(g)-2 C_{1,2 M}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g)+\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} g_{k}
$$

- For all $\ell \geqslant 2$,

$$
C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{SO}(2 M+1)}(g)=2^{\ell} C_{\ell, 2 M}^{\mathrm{even}}(g)-2^{\ell} C_{\ell, 2 M}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g)
$$

In the next section, we will show that $C_{\ell, M}^{\text {even }}(g)=\frac{1}{2} C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{U}(M)}(g)$, and then we will calculate $C_{\ell, M}^{\text {odd }}(g)$, first in the case when $M$ is odd, and then in the case when $M$ is even.

The results will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\ell}^{G(N)}(g)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}} \mu_{\ell}^{G(N)}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} g_{k_{j}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{\ell}^{G(N)}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}\right)$ is invariant under permutations of its arguments.
Combining the results from the next section proves the following theorems:

## Theorem 4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}^{\mathrm{Sp}(2 M)}(g)=2 M g_{0}-2 \sum_{n=1}^{M} g_{2 n} \\
& C_{2}^{\mathrm{Sp}(2 M)}(g)=4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \min (n, 2 M+1) g_{n}^{2}-4 \sum_{k=M+1}^{\infty} g_{k}^{2}-8 \sum_{l=1}^{M} \sum_{k=M+1}^{\infty} g_{k+l} g_{k-l}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $\ell \geqslant 3, \mu_{\ell}^{\mathrm{Sp}(N)}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}\right)=0$ if $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left|k_{j}\right| \leqslant N+1$.

Theorem 5. When averaged over the special orthogonal group, the mean of $\operatorname{Tr} g(U)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}^{\mathrm{SO}(2 M)}(g)=2 M g_{0}+2 \sum_{n=1}^{M-1} g_{2 n} \\
& C_{1}^{\mathrm{SO}(2 M+1)}(g)=(2 M+1) g_{0}+2 \sum_{n=1}^{M} g_{2 n}+2 \sum_{n=2 M+1}^{\infty} g_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the variance is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{2}^{\mathrm{SO}(2 M)}(g)=4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \min (n, 2 M-1) g_{n}^{2}+4 \sum_{k=M}^{\infty} g_{k}^{2}+8 \sum_{l=1}^{M-1} \sum_{k=M}^{\infty} g_{k+l} g_{k-l} \\
& C_{2}^{\mathrm{SO}(2 M+1)}(g)=4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \min (n, 2 M) g_{n}^{2}-8 \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\
n \text { odd }}}^{2 M-1} \sum_{\substack{m=2 M+1 \\
m \text { odd }}}^{\infty} g_{(m+n) / 2} g_{(m-n) / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\ell \geqslant 3, \mu_{\ell}^{\mathrm{SO}(N)}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}\right)=0$ if $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left|k_{j}\right| \leqslant N-1$.

## 3. The combinatorial calculations

### 3.1. The calculation of $C_{\ell, M}^{\mathrm{even}}(g)$

The following lemma was stated by Soshnikov in [9]:
Lemma 6. For all $\ell$,

$$
C_{\ell, M}^{\mathrm{even}}(g)=\frac{1}{2} C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{U}(M)}(g)
$$

Proof. Symbolically, denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{[-\pi, \pi]^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} g^{\lambda_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right) S_{M}\left(x_{j}-\epsilon_{j} x_{j+1}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{j} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \ldots, \epsilon_{m}\right)$. If $\epsilon_{1}=1$ do nothing, but if $\epsilon_{1}=-1$ then change variables to $x_{2} \mapsto-x_{2}$, and note that since $g$ and $S_{M}$ are even functions, and the integral over $x_{2}$ is over $[-\pi, \pi]$, then (6) becomes ( $+1,-\epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{3}, \ldots, \epsilon_{m}$ ).

Observe that this achieves the following: if the initial situation was $(-1,-1, \ldots)$ then it becomes $(+1,+1, \ldots)$ while if it was $(-1,+1, \ldots)$ it becomes $(+1,-1, \ldots)$. Therefore there is either the same number of -1 in the set of $\epsilon$ or there are two less -1 .

Now repeat for the new $\epsilon_{2}$, changing variables only if it is -1 , and so on all the way up to $\epsilon_{m}$. Each time the action either leaves the number of -1 unchanged or reduces it by 2 . Since we started with an even number of -1 in the set of $\epsilon$ this algorithm will terminate with (6) equalling $(+1,+1, \ldots,+1)$, which is independent of $\epsilon$. There are $2^{m-1}$ possible $\epsilon$ with an even number of -1 , and so
$C_{\ell, M}^{\mathrm{even}}(g)=\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\sigma \in P(\ell, m)}(-1)^{m+1}(m-1)!\frac{1}{2^{m}} 2^{m-1} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} g^{\lambda_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right) S_{M}\left(x_{j}-x_{j+1}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{j}$
which we recognize as $\frac{1}{2} C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{U}(M)}(g)$.
The cumulants of a random unitary matrix have previously been calculated, essentially by Soshnikov [9], but they can also be deduced from the work of Diaconis and Shahshahani [2] and of Diaconis and Evans [1].

Theorem 7 (Soshnikov). Let $C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{U}(N)}$ be the $\ell$ th cumulant of $\operatorname{Tr} g(U)$, averaged over all $N \times N$ unitary matrices with Haar measure. Then

$$
C_{1}^{\mathrm{U}(N)}=N g_{0} \quad C_{2}^{\mathrm{U}(N)}=\sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} \min (|n|, N) g_{n} g_{-n}
$$

and for $\ell \geqslant 3$,

$$
\left|C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{U}(N)}(g)\right| \leqslant{\text { const }_{\ell}}^{\substack{\begin{subarray}{c}{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{\ell}=0 \\
\left|k_{1}\right|+\cdots+\mid k_{\ell} \gg 2 N} }}\end{subarray}}\left|k_{1}\right|\left|g_{k_{1}}\right| \ldots\left|g_{k_{\ell}}\right| .
$$

Remark. The heart of the proof of this theorem is a deep combinatorial fact called the Hunt-Dyson formula.

Remark. Actually, the error term in [9] has the sum running over all $k_{1}+\cdots+k_{\ell}=0$ such that $\left|k_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|k_{\ell}\right|>N$. But it is clear from equation (2.9) of [9] that there is no contribution to $C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{U}(N)}$ for $\ell \geqslant 3$ if $\sum k_{i} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{k_{i}>0\right\}} \leqslant N$ and if $\sum-k_{i} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{k_{i}<0\right\}} \leqslant N$. Since the $k_{i}$ sum to zero, it must be that the sum over positive terms equals the sum over negative terms, and so this is the same as the condition $\sum\left|k_{i}\right| \leqslant 2 N$, as we have it in the theorem.

### 3.2. The calculation of $C_{\ell, 2 M+1}^{\text {odd }}(\mathrm{g})$

Observe from (1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2 M+1}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{n=-M}^{M} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n z} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 8. One can calculate $C_{1,2 M+1}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g)$ and $C_{2,2 M+1}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g)$ exactly:

$$
C_{1,2 M+1}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-M}^{M} g_{2 n} \quad C_{2,2 M+1}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=-M}^{M} \sum_{|k|>M} g_{l+k} g_{l-k} .
$$

Proof. First of all, from (4) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1,2 M+1}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(x) S_{2 M+1}(2 x) \mathrm{d} x \\
C_{2,2 M+1}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g^{2}(x) S_{2 M+1}(2 x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\frac{1}{4} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(x) g(y) 2 S_{2 M+1}(x+y) S_{2 M+1}(x-y) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y
\end{aligned}
$$

and using (7) we see that

$$
C_{1,2 M+1}^{\text {odd }}(g)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-M}^{M} g_{2 n}
$$

and
$C_{2,2 M+1}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=-M}^{M} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} g_{k} g_{2 l-k}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=-M}^{M} \sum_{k=-M}^{M} g_{l+k} g_{l-k}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=-M}^{M} \sum_{|k|>M} g_{l+k} g_{l-k}$
as required.

Lemma 9. For $\ell \geqslant 2$,

$$
\left|C_{\ell, 2 M+1}^{\text {odd }}(g)\right| \leqslant \text { const }_{\ell} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell} \\\left|k_{1}\right|+\cdots \cdots\left|k_{\ell}\right|>2 M+1}}\left|g_{k_{1}}\right| \ldots\left|g_{k_{\ell}}\right| .
$$

Proof. Fix $\sigma \in P(\ell, m)$, and for $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}$ set

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{1}=\sum_{l=1}^{\lambda_{1}} k_{l} \\
K_{2}=\sum_{l=\lambda_{1}+1}^{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}} k_{l} \\
\vdots \\
K_{m}=\sum_{l=\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{m-1}+1}^{\ell} k_{l}
\end{gathered}
$$

(recall that $\ell=\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{m}$ ). Therefore

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{m} g^{\lambda_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)=\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}} \prod_{l=1}^{\ell} g_{k_{l}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} K_{j} x_{j}}
$$

Hence, the integral in (4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} g^{\lambda_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right) S_{2 M+1}\left(x_{j}-\epsilon_{j} x_{j+1}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{j} \\
&=\sum_{-M \leqslant n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m} \leqslant M} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}} \prod_{l=1}^{\ell} g_{k_{l}} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} K_{j} x_{j}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n_{j}\left(x_{j}-\epsilon_{j} x_{j+1}\right)} \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{j}}{2 \pi} \\
&=\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}} \prod_{l=1}^{\ell} g_{k_{l}} \sum_{-M \leqslant n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m} \leqslant M} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \exp \left(\mathrm{i} x_{j}\left(K_{j}+n_{j}-\epsilon_{j-1} n_{j-1}\right)\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{j}}{2 \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (7) to express $S_{2 M+1}\left(x_{j}-\epsilon_{j} x_{j+1}\right)$ in its Fourier representation, and we have defined $\epsilon_{0}=\epsilon_{m}, n_{0}=n_{m}$ (so all indices are cyclic).

The integral above will be 1 or 0 depending on whether $n_{j}-\epsilon_{j-1} n_{j-1}=-K_{j}$ or not, so defining
$\mathcal{N}(M, \sigma, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon)=\#\left\{-M \leqslant n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m} \leqslant M: n_{j}-\epsilon_{j-1} n_{j-1}=-K_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}$
(the $K_{1}, \ldots, K_{m}$ depend on both $\mathbf{k}$ and $\sigma$, recall) we see that
$C_{\ell, 2 M+1}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g)=\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}} \prod_{l=1}^{\ell} g_{k_{l}} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\sigma \in P(\ell, m)} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}(m-1)!}{2^{m}} \sum_{\substack{\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{m}= \pm 1 \\ \prod_{j}=-1}} \mathcal{N}(M, \sigma, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon)$.
Lemma 10. Let $\prod_{j=1}^{m} \epsilon_{j}=-1$. Then $\mathcal{N}(M, \sigma, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon)$ is either 0 or 1 :

- If $\sum_{l=1}^{\ell} k_{l}$ is odd then $\mathcal{N}(M, \sigma, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon)=0$.
- If $\sum_{l=1}^{\ell} k_{l}$ is even and $\sum_{l=1}^{\ell}\left|k_{l}\right| \leqslant 2 M$ then $\mathcal{N}(M, \sigma, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon)=1$.
(Proof deferred until the end of this section.) Therefore, if $\sum_{l=1}^{\ell}\left|k_{l}\right| \leqslant 2 M+1$ then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\sigma \in P(\ell, m)}(-1)^{m+1}(m-1)!\frac{1}{2^{m}} \sum_{\substack{\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{m}= \pm 1 \\
\Pi \epsilon_{j}=-1}} \mathcal{N}(M, \sigma, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon) \\
=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k}) \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\sigma \in P(\ell, m)}(-1)^{m+1}(m-1)! \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \sum_{l=1}^{\ell} k_{l} \text { is even } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Using the fact that for $\ell \geqslant 2$

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\sigma \in P(\ell, m)}(-1)^{m+1}(m-1)!=0
$$

we see that (10) vanishes for $\sum_{l=1}^{\ell}\left|k_{l}\right| \leqslant 2 M+1$ if $\ell \geqslant 2$. Inserting this into (9) and estimating the contribution from the terms with $\sum_{l=1}^{\ell}\left|k_{l}\right| \geqslant 2 M+2$ we see that

$$
\left|C_{\ell, 2 M+1}^{\text {odd }}(g)\right| \leqslant \text { const }_{\ell} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell} \\ \sum_{l=1}^{\ell}\left|k_{l}\right| \geqslant 2 M+2}} \prod_{l=1}^{\ell}\left|g_{k_{l}}\right|
$$

where

$$
\text { const }_{\ell}=\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{2}(m-1)!\#\{\sigma \in P(\ell, m)\}
$$

depends upon $\ell$ only. This completes the proof of lemma 9.
Proof of lemma 10. We treat all indices as cyclic modulo $m$. So $n_{0}=n_{m}$ and $n_{m+1}=n_{1}$ etc. We assume that $\prod_{j=1}^{m} \epsilon_{j}=-1$. Define the $m \times m$ matrix $E$ to be such that

$$
E_{i, j}= \begin{cases}\epsilon_{i-1} & \text { if } j=i-1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

so that

$$
(E \mathbf{n})_{j}=\epsilon_{j-1} n_{j-1}
$$

From the definition of $\mathcal{N}(M, \sigma, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon)$ (which is given in (8)) we see that it is the number of solutions of $(I-E) \mathbf{n}=-\mathbf{K}$ subject to $-M \leqslant n_{j} \leqslant M$.

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(E^{k} \mathbf{n}\right)_{j} & =\epsilon_{j-1}\left(E^{k-1} \mathbf{n}\right)_{j-1} \\
& =\epsilon_{j-1} \epsilon_{j-2} \ldots \epsilon_{j-k} n_{j-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $E^{m}=\epsilon_{1} \ldots \epsilon_{m} I=-I$ by cyclicity of indices and the assumption that $\prod_{j=1}^{m} \epsilon_{j}=-1$.
Hence $2 I=I-E^{m}$. But $I-E^{m}$ factorizes as

$$
I-E^{m}=(I-E)\left(I+E+\cdots+E^{m-2}+E^{m-1}\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
(I-E)^{-1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(I+E+\cdots+E^{m-2}+E^{m-1}\right) .
$$

If we ignore the restriction that $-M \leqslant n_{j} \leqslant M$ then, over the reals, there is exactly one solution to $(I-E) \mathbf{n}=-\mathbf{K}$ which is
$n_{j}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{j}+\epsilon_{j-1} K_{j-1}+\epsilon_{j-1} \epsilon_{j-2} K_{j-2}+\cdots+\epsilon_{j-1} \epsilon_{j-2} \ldots \epsilon_{j-m+1} K_{j-m+1}\right)$.
This is a solution over the integers if $n_{j}$ is an integer, which will be the case when the term inside the brackets is even. Since $\epsilon_{j} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ for all $j$, the term inside the brackets is even when

$$
K_{j}+K_{j-1}+\cdots+K_{j-m+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} K_{i}=\sum_{l=1}^{\ell} k_{l}
$$

is even. There are no solutions over the integers when this is odd. (Note that the parity is independent of $\epsilon$ and the partition $\sigma$ ).

Finally, one must check that the condition $-M \leqslant n_{j} \leqslant M$ holds. From (11) we see that

$$
\left|n_{j}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|K_{i}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\ell}\left|k_{l}\right|
$$

and so if we assume that $\sum_{l=1}^{\ell}\left|k_{l}\right| \leqslant 2 M$, then the condition holds.
Thus $\mathcal{N}(M, \sigma, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon)=0$ if $\sum_{l=1}^{\ell} k_{l}$ is odd, and $\mathcal{N}(M, \sigma, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon)=1$ if $\sum_{l=1}^{\ell} k_{l}$ is even and $\sum_{l=1}^{\ell}\left|k_{l}\right| \leqslant 2 M$.

This proves lemma 10.

### 3.3. The calculation of $C_{\ell, 2 M}^{\mathrm{odd}}(\mathrm{g})$

Basically, this section is like the previous, with the essential change being that

$$
S_{2 M}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{\substack{n=-(2 M-1) \\ n \text { odd }}}^{2 M-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n z / 2}
$$

as opposed to (7) which states

$$
S_{2 M+1}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{\substack{n=-2 M \\ n \text { even }}}^{2 M} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n z / 2}
$$

Lemma 11. One can calculate $C_{1,2 M}^{\text {odd }}(g)$ and $C_{2,2 M}^{\text {odd }}(g)$ exactly:
$C_{1,2 M}^{\text {odd }}(g)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-(M-1)}^{M} g_{2 n-1} \quad C_{2,2 M}^{\text {odd }}(g)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{n=-(2 M-1) \\ n \text { odd }}}^{2 M-1} \sum_{\substack{|m| \geqslant 2 M+1 \\ m \text { odd }}} g_{\frac{1}{2}(n+m)} g_{\frac{1}{2}(n-m)}$.
Lemma 12. For $\ell \geqslant 2$,

$$
\left|C_{\ell, 2 M}^{\text {odd }}(g)\right| \leqslant \text { const }_{\ell} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell} \\\left|k_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|k_{\ell}\right|>2 M}}\left|g_{k_{1}}\right| \ldots\left|g_{k_{\ell}}\right| .
$$

The proof goes through the same steps as before, with equation (8) becoming

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{N}_{\text {odd }}(M, \sigma, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon)=\#\left\{-(2 M-1) \leqslant n_{j} \leqslant 2 M-1,\right. \\
& \left.n_{j} \text { odd : } \frac{1}{2} n_{j}-\epsilon_{j-1} \frac{1}{2} n_{j-1}=-K_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Rewriting equation (11) we see the solution requested by $\mathcal{N}_{\text {odd }}(M, \sigma, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon)$ is

$$
n_{j}=-\left(K_{j}+\epsilon_{j-1} K_{j-1}+\epsilon_{j-1} \epsilon_{j-2} K_{j-2}+\cdots+\epsilon_{j-1} \epsilon_{j-2} \ldots \epsilon_{j-m+1} K_{j-m+1}\right)
$$

so long as $n_{j}$ is odd and $-(2 M-1) \leqslant n_{j} \leqslant 2 M-1$ (and there is no solution otherwise). Therefore lemma 10 becomes

Lemma 13. Let $\prod_{j=1}^{m} \epsilon_{j}=-1$. Then $\mathcal{N}_{\text {odd }}(M, \sigma, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon)$ is either 0 or 1 :

- If $\sum_{l=1}^{\ell} k_{l}$ is even then $\mathcal{N}_{\text {odd }}(M, \sigma, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon)=0$.
- If $\sum_{l=1}^{\ell} k_{l}$ is odd and $\sum_{l=1}^{\ell}\left|k_{l}\right| \leqslant 2 M-1$ then $\mathcal{N}_{\text {odd }}(M, \sigma, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon)=1$.


## 4. Moments of traces

We will now use theorem 5 to prove the second part of theorem 3. (The proof of the first part from theorem 4 being analogous.)

Recall from (5) that

$$
C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{SO}(N)}(g)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}} \mu_{\ell}^{\mathrm{SO}(N)}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\ell}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} g_{n_{j}}
$$

where $\mu_{\ell}^{\mathrm{SO}(N)}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\ell}\right)$ is invariant under permutations of its arguments. Assuming $g_{0}=0$, we have the following:

- If $\left|n_{1}\right|<N$ then

$$
\mu_{1}^{\operatorname{SO}(N)}\left(n_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \quad n_{1} \neq 0 \text { is even } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- If $\left|n_{1}\right|+\left|n_{2}\right|<N$ then

$$
\mu_{2}^{\mathrm{SO}(N)}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\left|n_{1}\right| & \text { if }\left|n_{1}\right|=\left|n_{2}\right| \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

- If $\ell \geqslant 3$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left|n_{j}\right|<N$ then $\mu_{\ell}^{\mathrm{SO}(N)}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\ell}\right)=0$.

It is also true that if $g_{0}=0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{G}\{(\operatorname{Tr} g(U) & \left.\left.-C_{1}^{G}(g)\right)^{m}\right\} \\
& =2^{m} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{m}} \mathbb{E}_{G}\left\{\left(\operatorname{Tr} U^{n_{1}}-\mu_{1}^{G}\left(n_{1}\right)\right) \ldots\left(\operatorname{Tr} U^{n_{m}}-\mu_{1}^{G}\left(n_{m}\right)\right)\right\} \prod_{j=1}^{m} g_{n_{j}}  \tag{12}\\
& =\sum\left(\frac{C_{2}^{G}(g)}{2!}\right)^{k_{2}}\left(\frac{C_{3}^{G}(g)}{3!}\right)^{k_{3}} \cdots\left(\frac{C_{m}^{G}(g)}{m!}\right)^{k_{m}} \frac{m!}{k_{2}!k_{3}!\ldots k_{m}!} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where the second sum runs over all values of $k_{j} \geqslant 0$ such that $\sum_{j=2}^{m} j k_{j}=m$ (it is simply writing the $m$ th moment in terms of its cumulants, having subtracted the mean).

Let $a_{j} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots$ such that $\sum j a_{j}<N$. Define

$$
\eta_{j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for even } j \\ 0 & \text { for odd } j\end{cases}
$$

so that $\mu_{1}^{\mathrm{SO}(N)}(j)=\eta_{j}$ for $|j|<N$.
Putting $m=\sum a_{j}$, we will evaluate the coefficient of $\prod\left(g_{j}\right)^{a_{j}}$ in (12) and (13), the two being equal to each other.

Consider first equation (12). The coefficient of $\prod\left(g_{j}\right)^{a_{j}}$ in

$$
2^{m} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{m}} \mathbb{E}_{\operatorname{SO}(N)}\left\{\left(\operatorname{Tr} U^{n_{1}}-\eta_{n_{1}}\right) \ldots\left(\operatorname{Tr} U^{n_{m}}-\eta_{n_{m}}\right)\right\} \prod_{j=1}^{m} g_{n_{j}}
$$

equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2^{m} m!}{\prod\left(a_{j}\right)!} \mathbb{E}_{\operatorname{SO}(N)}\left\{\prod\left(\operatorname{Tr} U^{j}-\eta_{j}\right)^{a_{j}}\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider next equation (13). Note that the restriction on the $a_{j}$ means that there is no contribution to the coefficient of $\prod\left(g_{j}\right)^{a_{j}}$ from $C_{\ell}^{S O(N)}(g)$ for all $\ell \geqslant 3$. Therefore the coefficient in (13) is 0 if $m$ is odd and is the coefficient of $\prod\left(g_{j}\right)^{a_{j}}$ in
$\frac{m!}{2^{m / 2}(m / 2)!}\left(C_{2}^{\mathrm{SO}(N)}(g)\right)^{m / 2}=\frac{m!}{2^{m / 2}(m / 2)!} 2^{m} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m / 2} \mu_{2}^{\mathrm{SO}(N)}\left(n_{2 j-1}, n_{2 j}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{m} g_{n_{j}}$
if $m$ is even. This coefficient is zero unless all the $a_{j}$ are even, in which case it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m!}{(m / 2)!} 2^{m / 2} \frac{(m / 2)!}{\prod\left(a_{j} / 2\right)!} \prod j^{a_{j} / 2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(to see this, note that the structure of $\mu_{2}^{\mathrm{SO}(N)}$ means that $n_{2 j}$ must equal $n_{2 j-1}$ for $j=1, \ldots, m / 2$. The second pre-factor is just the number of ways of picking $m / 2$ integers such that $a_{1} / 2$ of them equal $1, a_{2} / 2$ of them equal 2 etc).

Setting (14) equal to (15) and recalling that $m=\sum a_{j}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{SO}(N)}\left\{\prod\left(\operatorname{Tr} U^{j}-\eta_{j}\right)^{a_{j}}\right\} & = \begin{cases}\prod j^{a_{j} / 2} \frac{\left(a_{j}\right)!}{2^{a_{j} / 2}\left(a_{j} / 2\right)!} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { if all the } a_{j} \text { are even } \\
0
\end{array} \\
& =E\left\{\prod\left(\sqrt{j} Z_{j}\right)^{a_{j}}\right\}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Z_{j}$ are iid normal random variables with mean 0 and variance 1 .
Observe that this can all be rewritten as

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{SO}(N)}\left\{\prod\left(\operatorname{Tr} U^{j}\right)^{a_{j}}\right\}=E\left\{\prod\left(\sqrt{j} Z_{j}+\eta_{j}\right)^{a_{j}}\right\}
$$

and is valid so long as $\sum j a_{j}<N$.
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